

# Earned Value Management Update

#### **Nancy L. Spruill**

Director, Acquisition Resources and Analysis
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense
(Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

May 31, 2005



#### Agenda

Background

Revised Earned Value Management (EVM) Policy

➤ Government/Industry EVM Working Group



#### Background

- Industry concerns communicated in letter to USD(AT&L) (April 2003)
- DoD concerns discussed at executive-level meeting (July 2003)
- Concerns presented to Defense Acquisition Excellence Council (DAEC) (October 2003)
  - Action resulted to establish teams to work the issues and provide periodic status to DAEC
- Actions to date:
  - Established Government/Industry EVM Working Group (first meeting – July 2004)
  - Presented proposed EVM policy changes to DAEC (November 2004)
  - Released approved revised EVM policy (March 2005)



#### Revised EVM Policy: Summary of Changes

- New dollar thresholds for cost/incentive contracts (no differentiation between development and procurement)
- Revised Cost Performance Report (CPR) data item description - renamed "Contract" Performance Report
- Eliminated Cost/Schedule Status Report (C/SSR)
- Expanded application of Integrated Master Schedule (IMS) and linked to CPR
- Clarified requirement for Integrated Baseline Reviews (IBRs) (required when EVM required)

Changes not retroactive but are effective <u>now</u> on new cost/incentive contracts



#### Revised EVM Policy: New Application Thresholds

Contracts	<b>Thresholds</b>
Contracts	1111 63110103

#### Requirements

Cost or Incentive Equal to or Above Threshold	<u>&gt;</u> \$50M	<ul> <li>Compliance with industry EVM standard</li> <li>Formal EVM system validation</li> <li>Contract Performance Report</li> <li>Integrated Master Schedule</li> <li>Integrated Baseline Reviews</li> <li>Ongoing surveillance</li> </ul>
Cost or Incentive Less Than Upper Threshold but Equal to or Above Lower Threshold	< \$50M but <u>&gt;</u> \$20M	<ul> <li>Compliance with industry EVM standard</li> <li>No formal EVM system validation</li> <li>Contract Performance Report (tailored)</li> <li>Integrated Master Schedule (tailored)</li> <li>Integrated Baseline Reviews</li> <li>Ongoing surveillance</li> </ul>
Cost or Incentive Less Than Threshold	< \$20M	- EVM optional (risk-based decision) - Cost-benefit analysis required



#### Revised EVM Policy: Status Overview

Much activity has been completed...

- Released policy changes for government and industry comment
- Adjudicated comments; modified policy documents accordingly
- Conducted business case analysis
- Formally coordinated policy changes within DoD
- Obtained USD(AT&L) approval of revised policy
- Issued interim policy memorandum (March 7, 2005)
- Published approved data item descriptions (CPR and IMS) and revised CPR forms (March 30, 2005)
- Published new DoD Earned Value Management Implementation Guide (EVMIG) (April 7, 2005)
- Some actions are in the works...
  - Revising DoD Instruction 5000.2 (Fall 2005)
  - Revising Defense Acquisition Guidebook (July 2005)
  - Updating Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) Handbook (July 2005)

Another update of the DoD EVMIG is planned for release by October 2005



#### Government/Industry EVM Working Group

- Established at request of DAEC
- Working-level forum to "assess EVM and broader program management issues and concerns by sharing improvement ideas and recommending solutions, capitalizing on existing industry practices"
- Membership includes representatives from OSD, military services, defense and intelligence agencies, National Defense Industrial Association, and large defense contractors (plan to add small business representative)
- Five meetings held during past year
  - Collaborated on policy changes
  - Developed plan to address major DoD and industry issues
  - Working on policy implementation issues and other activities, to include NDIA ANSI Intent Guide

Standing body that will continue to meet and dialogue on the issues



## Government/Industry EVM Working Group

- Major Issues Identified by Government/Industry EVM Working Group
  - 1. Policy, Validation, and Surveillance
  - 2. Contract Requirements
  - 3. Training
  - 4. Contract Definitization
  - 5. Management Acceptance
  - 6. Process Integrity
  - 7. Subcontractor Management
- Working Group developed plan defining issues and strategies for implementing corrective actions
  - Revisions to EVM policy respond to Issues 1 and 2
  - Much progress has been made on Issue 3—will have ancillary benefits in other areas
  - Research underway on Issues 4 and 5
  - Issues 6 and 7 will be worked in future
  - NDIA Program Management Systems Committee teams actively working related initiatives



### **Closing Thoughts**

- OSD continues to make EVM a priority and plans to stay actively engaged
- Government/Industry EVM Working Group will continue to work issues, share improvement ideas, and recommend and implement solutions
- Goal = to ensure performance management processes are effective, consistent, and reflective of industry be

Working together is the key to success!





### Industry and DoD Concerns

- Industry Concerns
  - Erosion of avenues of communication and problem resolution
  - Conflicting contractual requirements
  - Duplicative management systems reviews
  - Unique system surveillance oversight activities
  - Proliferation of independent approaches
  - Declining government experience and resources
- DoD Concerns
  - Diverse implementation of EVM among and within companies
  - Maturity of industry infrastructure to support "ownership" of EVM
  - Lack of institutionalization of EVM as an integral program management tool
  - Varying levels of confidence in reported data
  - DoD program managers taking actions in response to industry's maturity issues



### **Previous EVM Policy**

- DoD Instruction 5000.2, Operation of the Defense Acquisition System, May 12, 2003 – EVM is a Regulatory Information Requirement (Enclosure 3, Table E3.T2)
  - Requirements
    - Implement EVM guidelines in ANSI/EIA-748 on contracts/agreements over designated dollar thresholds (RDT&E - \$73M, Procurement - \$315M)
    - Conduct Integrated Baseline Reviews
  - Policy changes have been submitted for incorporation into update currently in progress
- Defense Acquisition Guidebook, October 17, 2004 (replaced DoD Regulation 5000.2-R)
  - Contains "how to" guidance for previous policy (old thresholds, Cost/Schedule Status Report, etc.)
  - Revising EVM contents in Chapter 11 to reflect revised policy
  - Will include supporting guidance (expectations, exclusions, etc.)



#### Revised EVM Policy: Business Case Analysis

- Used contracts data supplied by DoD and industry; applied proposed new EVM application thresholds
  - Increase in lower threshold from \$6.3M to \$20M results in decrease in number of contracts requiring EVM (DoD data = 2,156; industry data = 1,297)
  - Decrease in upper threshold from \$315M/\$73M to \$50M results in increase in number of contracts requiring a CPR and validated EVM system (DoD data = 535; industry data = 261)
- Used incremental cost algorithm to establish equivalency between difference in level of effort for CPR, Tailored CPR (replacement for C/SSR), and C/SSR (C/SSR = 0.33 CPR; TCPR = 1.1 C/SSR)
  - DoD data eliminate CPRs on 326 contracts
  - Industry data eliminate CPRs on 235 contracts
- Conclusion
  - Policy changes will result in relatively significant cost avoidance; cost of eliminating C/SSRs more than offsets cost of additional CPRs
  - Few, if any, additional system validations will be required under the revised policy



#### Revised EVM Policy: Tailoring Reporting

- Guidance for tailoring CPR and IMS for cost or incentive contracts < \$50M but > \$20M
- Considerations when making a risk-based decision to require EVM on cost or incentive contracts < \$20M; guidance for tailoring reporting (program manager approval required)
- Factors to consider if applying EVM on a FFP contract and guidance for tailoring reporting (MDA approval required)

Guidance resides in DoD EVMIG



## Government/Industry EVM Collaboration Framework



- Inputs to decisions Implements solutions

#### **DAEC**

- Discussion forum Oversees/promotes process

## Industry Executive Management

Inputs to decisions
 Approves/implements solutions

#### OUSD(AT&L)

- Prioritizes problems
- Approves solutions

#### NDIA

- Represents industry
- Prioritizes problems
- Develops solutions

## Government/Industry Working Group

- Identifies/defines problems
  - Recommends solutions
- Assists in implementing solutions